

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,810	03/09/2004	Yutaka Hirata	K-1746RE	9801
102550910 HAUPTMAN, KANESAKA, BERNER PATENT AGENT, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD			EXAMINER	
			BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL	
SUITE 310 ALEXANDRIA., VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	<b>"</b>		3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/795,810 HIRATA, YUTAKA Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Anthony D. Barfield 3636 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 May 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/116,906. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SS/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/795,810 Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

### DETAILED ACTION

## Reissue Applications

 The reissue supplemental oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because the error which is relied upon to support the reissue application is not an error upon which a reissue can be based. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

- 2. The reissue supplemental oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414. Applicant is reminded that the original error identified in the previous oath/declaration is no longer applicable.
- The reissue supplemental oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because
  it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to currently support the reissue
  application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.
- 4. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following: The error originally identified is no longer applicable as that error can not be used as a grounds to support the reissue.
- Claims 1-2 are rejected as being based upon a defective supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the oath/declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action

#### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 5/28/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the "Board decision indicated that Fontaine Art Unit: 3636

does not disclose the "pressure sensitive sheet sensor" and the "slit."....as confirmed by the Decision on Appeal, it is submitted that claim 11 was unnecessarily limited", applicant is reminded that in terms of a "Reissue Application", that the decision as to whether there is no valid "prior art rejection" or that the claims were rendered allowable over the prior art due to the prior art lacking certain limitations are rendered moot, as the rejections are totally based under 35 U.S.C. 251 guidelines, which clearly outlines that at least one proper error needs to be identified in order to establish the grounds for a reissue application. The opinions and decisions rendered by the Board of Appeals do not warrant "grounds" for establishing a proper error applicant is directed to CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414 for what constitutes grounds for a proper error. Finally, it is reiterated again that upon the allowance of the original claims applicant had surrendered certain rights and features which bind the claimed invention, i.e., rear slit must be featured in the claims of the reissue application.

## Conclusion

7. This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/795,810. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however,

event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of

this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anthony D. Barfield whose telephone number is 571-272-6852.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anthony D Barfield/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3636

Page 4

adh

October 21, 2010